

**4th Surana & Surana & School of Law, Raffles University Labour Law Moot Court  
Competition, 2022**



**CORRECTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS**

**CORRECTION**

1. In every paragraph, the reference to the Name of “Aparbhakti” is corrected to read as “Aparshakti”

**CLARIFICATIONS**

- Q1. Why Supreme Court framed the 3rd Issue “Whether ‘The Rules for Commercial Drone, 2016’ is constitutional as it encroaches upon the Freedom of Trade under Article 19, Constitution of Hastinapur.”?
  - A1. A notice has been issued to Central Government, so the Government is also a party to the current problem.
- Q2. Here, the accident of Shri Aparbhakti happened in the Year 2014 (August 3, 2014) then why did the legal dependents of Shri. Aparbhakti brought an action for compensation at the office of Deputy Commissioner so late in the Year 2018 that is after four year of the accident? Why was the claim for compensation filed so late, i.e., on 10 April, 2018?
  - A2. No response is necessary. Please rely on the fact sheet.
- Q3. Provide for the breakdown of the compensation claim amounting to Rs.11,06,850?
  - A3. There is no explanation needed and the participants are encouraged to think through the problem and the existing mootng format.